

SARANAC

PARTNERS

RTS 28 Report 2020

Contents

Introduction	4
Equities	5
Debt Instruments	8
Structured Finance Instruments	11
Equity Derivatives	14
Exchange Traded Products	17
Currency Derivatives	20
Other	23

Saranac Partners RTS 28 Report 2020

Introduction

Saranac Partners Limited (“Saranac Partners”) is required to put in place arrangements to enable it to deliver best execution, as defined in MiFID, and the rules of the UK Financial Conduct Authority (the “FCA”). The best execution regime requires investment firms to take all reasonable steps to obtain the best possible result for their clients when transacting in financial instruments. The extent of these obligations will vary depending upon the firm’s role in the execution of the transaction. Where Saranac Partners passes orders in financial instruments directly to a custodian selected by the client, Saranac Partners is required to operate in the client’s “best interests” and the client’s custodian retains the obligation to provide best execution.

If Saranac Partners chooses to execute the order directly with an execution venue, which is then settled by the client’s custodian, it is Saranac Partners’ responsibility to obtain best execution, on your behalf. In this case Saranac Partners owes clients “best execution”. The only asset class where this happens ordinarily is structured products.

Execution Quality Reports (RTS 27)

Each client’s custodian is obliged to provide detailed quarterly reports of execution performance. As Saranac Partners is not responsible for best execution, in the majority of cases these execution quality reports will only be analysed by Saranac Partners where we have a specific concern.

Top 5 Execution Venues

As part of MiFID, RTS 28 requires Saranac Partners to provide an annual report showing the percentage of transactions executed on each of the top 5 execution venues utilised across a variety of asset types. As the vast majority of orders are received and transmitted by Saranac Partners to the clients chosen custodian, the top 5 venue statistics are a function of the custodians clients have selected.

The data below relates to the period between 1 January 2020 to 31 December 2020. In respect of each asset class we are required to provide narrative in answer to questions posed, lettered a) to h).

By merely receiving and transmitting orders to client’s custodians, Saranac Partners is unable to direct whether the orders are to be treated as passive or aggressive.

Should the client issue specific instructions to Saranac Partners on the choice of execution venue this will be treated as “directed order”.

Equities

- (a) an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution;

The majority of orders received to transact in equities are transmitted to the client's custodian for execution unamended. Should Saranac Partners deem it desirable to manage or divert the client's order, subject to any specific instructions that we accept from the client, the factors that Saranac Partners consider in order to determine the manner in which an order will be executed are price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution and settlement, together with any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.

Such considerations include:

- the availability of liquidity
- the market impact of the order
- the availability of appropriate credit lines, if relevant
- characteristics of the client, including client suitability and investment restrictions
- available execution venues

In the absence of specific instructions from the client, Saranac Partners will exercise its discretion to determine which of these factors, or combination of them, will be relevant to achieve the best result.

- (b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders;

Saranac Partners does not have any close links, conflicts of interest or common ownership, with respect to any of the execution venues listed.

- (c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received;

Saranac Partners has negotiated very competitive brokerage fees for clients utilising Credit Suisse (London, Guernsey and Zurich). Saranac Partners does not itself benefit from any rebates or any material non-monetary benefits.

-
- | | |
|---|---|
| (d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred; | There were no changes made during 2020. |
| (e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements; | Saranac Partners' treat the orders of Retail clients and Professional clients in exactly the same way. |
| (f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client; | The primary driver of venue choice is determined by the client's choice of custodian/broker who will owe our mutual clients a duty of best execution. |
| (g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27]; | We closely monitor execution quality of clients' chosen custodians on a trade by trade basis to ensure it is executed on the best terms available in accordance with the custodian's execution policy. See above for use of execution quality reports (RTS 27). |
| (h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive | As Saranac Partners does not typically choose where to direct client orders we do not use the output of a consolidated tape provider. |
-

Equities

Class of Instrument	Equities				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	19.55				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Credit Suisse (UK) Limited 549300GUAMON5OX2OO54	72.09%	65.32%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse AG ANGGYXNX0JLX3X63JN86	20.86%	18.21%	0	0	0
RBC Guernsey 5493000TLYNEW06YB739	3.59%	3.61%	0	0	0
Julius Baer Zurich PNWU8O0BLT17BBV61Y18	1.90%	7.77%	0	0	0
UBS Zurich 549300WOIFUSNYH0FL22	0.86%	2.34%	0	0	0

Debt Instruments

- (a) an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution;

The majority of orders received to transact in debt instruments are transmitted to the client's custodian for execution unamended. Should Saranac Partners deem it desirable to manage or divert the client's order, subject to any specific instructions that we accept from the client, the factors that Saranac Partners consider in order to determine the manner in which an order will be executed are price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution and settlement, together with any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.

Such considerations include:

- the availability of liquidity
- the market impact of the order
- the availability of appropriate credit lines, if relevant
- characteristics of the client, including client suitability and investment restrictions
- available execution venues

In the absence of specific instructions from the client, Saranac Partners will exercise its discretion to determine which of these factors, or combination of them, will be relevant to achieve the best result.

- (b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders;

Saranac Partners does not have any close links, conflicts of interest or common ownership, with respect to any of the execution venues listed.

- (c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received;

Saranac Partners has negotiated very competitive brokerage fees for clients utilising Credit Suisse London, Guernsey and Zurich.

- (d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred;

There were no changes made during 2020.

(e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements;

Saranac Partners treat the orders of Retail clients and professional clients in exactly the same way.

(f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client;

The primary driver of venue choice is driven by the client's choice of custodian/broker who will owe our mutual clients a duty of best execution.

(g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27];

We closely monitor execution quality of clients' chosen custodians on a trade by trade basis to ensure it is executed on the best terms available and in accordance with the custodian's execution policy. See above for use of execution quality reports (RTS 27).

(h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive

As Saranac Partners does not typically choose where to direct client orders we do not use the output of a consolidated tape provider.

Debt Instruments

Class of Instrument	Debt Instruments				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	14.62				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Credit Suisse (UK) Limited 549300GUAMON5OX2OO54	41.98%	53.22%	0	0	0
Julius Baer Zurich PNWU8O0BLT17BBV61Y18	21.77%	10.42%	0	0	0
UBS Zurich 549300WOIFUSNYH0FL22	17.33%	11.07%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse AG ANGGYXNX0JLX3X63JN86	11.11%	15.41%	0	0	0
JP Morgan International Bank Ltd PGBWEZU4QUV2UVGWJC7	3.96%	3.18%	0	0	0

Structured Finance Instruments

- (a) an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution;

Subject to any specific instructions that we accept from the client, the factors that Saranac Partners consider in order to determine the manner in which an order will be executed are price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution and settlement, together with any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.

Such considerations include:

- minimum bite size
- product features
- availability and variety of different pay-off profiles
- characteristics of the client, including client suitability and investment restrictions
- available issuers
- issuer risk

In the absence of specific instructions from the client, Saranac Partners will exercise its discretion to determine which of these factors, or combination of them, will be relevant to achieve the best result.

- (b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders;

Saranac Partners does not have any close links, conflicts of interest or common ownership, with respect to any of the execution venues listed.

- (c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received;

Saranac Partners has negotiated very competitive brokerage fees for clients utilising Credit Suisse London, Guernsey and Zurich.

- (d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred;

There were no changes made during 2020.

(e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements;

Saranac Partners' treat the orders of Retail clients and Professional clients in exactly the same way.

(f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client;

The primary driver of venue choice is driven by the client's choice of custodian/broker who will owe our mutual clients a duty of best execution. We will however, for certain asset classes use alternative venues where we believe better execution results are available.

(g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27];

We closely monitor execution quality of clients' chosen custodians on a trade by trade basis to ensure it is executed on the best terms available and in accordance with the custodian's execution policy. See above for use of execution quality reports (RTS 27).

(h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive

Structured Finance Instruments

Class of Instrument	Structured Finance Instruments				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	1.25				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Credit Suisse (UK) Limited 549300GUAMON5OX2OO54	53.44%	54.40%	0	0	0
UBS Zurich 549300WOIFUSNYH0FL22	79.84%	18.55%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse AG ANGGYXNX0JLX3X63JN86	14.11%	13.21%	0	0	0
CBP Quilvest 549300OZSHE4H5BFQA66	7.13%	5.97%	0	0	0
Julius Baer Zurich PNWU8O0BLT17BBV61Y18	5.49%	7.86%	0	0	0

Equity Derivatives

- (a) an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution;

The majority of orders received to transact in equity derivatives are transmitted to the client's custodian for execution unamended. Should Saranac Partners deem it desirable to manage or divert the client's order, subject to any specific instructions that we accept from the client, the factors that Saranac Partners consider in order to determine the manner in which an order will be executed are price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution and settlement, together with any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.

Such considerations include:

- the availability of liquidity
- the market impact of the order
- the availability of appropriate credit lines, if relevant
- characteristics of the client, including client suitability and investment restrictions
- available execution venues

In the absence of specific instructions from the client, Saranac Partners will exercise its discretion to determine which of these factors, or combination of them, will be relevant to achieve the best result.

- (b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders;

Saranac Partners does not have any close links, conflicts of interest or common ownership, with respect to any of the execution venues listed.

- (c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received;

Saranac Partners has negotiated very competitive brokerage fees for clients utilising Credit Suisse London, Guernsey and Zurich.

-
- | | |
|---|---|
| (d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred; | There were no changes made during 2020. |
| (e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements; | Saranac Partners' treat the orders of Retail clients and Professional clients in exactly the same way. |
| (f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client; | The primary driver of venue choice is driven by the client's choice of custodian/broker who will owe our mutual clients a duty of best execution. |
| (g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27]; | We closely monitor execution quality of clients' chosen custodians on a trade by trade basis to ensure it is executed on the best terms available and in accordance with the custodian's execution policy. See above for use of execution quality reports (RTS 27). |
| (h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive | As Saranac Partners does not typically choose where to direct client orders we do not use the output of a consolidated tape provider. |
-

Equity Derivatives

Class of Instrument	Equity Derivatives				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	2.1				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Credit Suisse (UK) Limited 549300GUAMON5OX2OO54	79.97%	79.47%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse AG ANGGYXNX0JLX3X63JN86	13.67%	11.22%	0	0	0
Julius Baer Zurich PNWU8O0BLT17BBV61Y18	4.99%	7.79%	0	0	0
JP Morgan International Bank Ltd PGBWEZU4QUV2UVGWJC76	1.15%	1.14%	0	0	0
CBP Quilvest 549300OZSHE4H5BFQA66	0.21%	0.38%	0	0	0

Exchange Traded Products

(a) an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution;

The majority of orders received to transact in exchange traded products are transmitted to the client's custodian for execution unamended. Should Saranac Partners deem it desirable to manage or divert the clients order, subject to any specific instructions that we accept from the client, the factors that Saranac Partners consider in order to determine the manner in which an order will be executed are price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution and settlement, together with any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.

Such considerations include:

- the availability of liquidity
- the market impact of the order
- the availability of appropriate credit lines, if relevant
- characteristics of the client, including client suitability and investment restrictions
- available execution venues

In the absence of specific instructions from the client, Saranac Partners will exercise its discretion to determine which of these factors, or combination of them, will be relevant to achieve the best result.

(b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders;

Saranac Partners does not have any close links, conflicts of interest or common ownership, with respect to any of the execution venues listed.

(c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received;

Saranac Partners has negotiated very competitive brokerage fees for clients utilising Credit Suisse London, Guernsey and Zurich.

-
- | | |
|---|--|
| (d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred; | There were no changes made during 2020. |
| (e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements; | Currently all of Saranac Partners clients are classified as Retail for the purposes of the instrument types covered by this report. |
| (f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client; | The primary driver of venue choice is driven by the client's choice of custodian/broker who will owe our mutual clients a duty of best execution. |
| (g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27]; | We closely monitor execution quality of clients chosen custodians on a trade by trade basis to ensure it is executed on the best terms available and in accordance with the custodian's execution policy. See above for use of execution quality reports (RTS 27). |
| (h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive | As Saranac Partners does not typically choose where to direct client orders we do not use the output of a consolidated tape provider. |
-

Exchange Traded Products

Class of Instrument	Exchange Traded Products (ETFs, ETNs & ETCs)				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	17.72				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Credit Suisse (UK) Limited 549300GUAMON5OX2OO54	64.33%	82.47%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse AG ANGGYXNX0JLX3X63JN86	18.72%	9.88%	0	0	0
UBS Zurich 549300WOIFUSNYH0FL22	5.76%	0.69%	0	0	0
CBP Quilvest 549300OZSHE4H5BFQA66	4.77%	1.22%	0	0	0
Julius Baer Zurich PNWU8O0BLT17BBV61Y18	4.81%	3.60%			
RBC Guernsey 5493000TLYNEW06YB739	2.80%	1.67%	0	0	0

Currency Derivatives

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution; | All orders received to transact in currency derivatives are transmitted to the client's custodian for execution unamended. |
| (b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders; | Saranac Partners does not have any close links, conflicts of interest or common ownership, with respect to any of the execution venues listed. |
| (c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received; | Saranac Partners has negotiated very competitive foreign exchange rates for clients utilising Credit Suisse London, Guernsey and Zurich. |
| (d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred; | There were no changes made during 2020. |
| (e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements; | Currently all of Saranac Partners clients are classified as Retail for the purposes of the instrument types covered by this report. |
| (f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client; | The only driver of venue choice is the client's choice of custodian/broker who will owe our mutual clients a duty of best execution. |

(g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27];

We closely monitor execution quality of client's chosen custodians on a trade by trade basis to ensure it is executed on the best terms available and in accordance with the custodian's execution policy. See above for use of execution quality reports (RTS 27).

(h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive

As Saranac Partners does not typically choose where to direct client orders we do not use the output of a consolidated tape provider.

Currency Derivatives

Class of Instrument	Currency Derivatives				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	2.36				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Credit Suisse (UK) Limited 549300GUAMON5OX2OO54	74.90%	78.63%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse AG ANGGYXNX0JLX3X63JN86	12.09%	10.18%	0	0	0
CBP Quilvest 549300OZSHE4H5BFQA66	7.54%	3.84%	0	0	0
Julius Baer Zurich PNWU8O0BLT17BBV61Y18	5.47%	7.35%	0	0	0

Other

- (a) an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution;

The majority of orders received to transact in 'other' products are transmitted to the client's custodian for execution unamended. Should Saranac Partners deem it desirable to manage or divert the client's order, subject to any specific instructions that we accept from the client, the factors that Saranac Partners consider in order to determine the manner in which an order will be executed are price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution and settlement, together with any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.

Such considerations include:

- the availability of liquidity
- the market impact of the order
- the availability of appropriate credit lines, if relevant
- characteristics of the client, including client suitability and investment restrictions
- available execution venues

In the absence of specific instructions from the client, Saranac Partners will exercise its discretion to determine which of these factors, or combination of them, will be relevant to achieve the best result.

- (b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders;

Saranac Partners does not have any close links, conflicts of interest or common ownership, with respect to any of the execution venues listed.

- (c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received;

Saranac Partners has negotiated very competitive brokerage fees for clients utilising Credit Suisse London, Guernsey and Zurich.

(d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred;

There were no changes made during 2020.

(e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements;

Saranac Partners' treat the orders of Retail clients and Professional clients in exactly the same way.

(f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client;

The primary driver of venue choice is driven by the client's choice of custodian/broker who will owe our mutual clients a duty of best execution.

(g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27];

We closely monitor execution quality of client's chosen custodians on a trade by trade basis to ensure it is executed on the best terms available and in accordance with the custodian's execution policy. See above for use of execution quality reports (RTS 27).

(h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive

As Saranac Partners does not typically choose where to direct client orders we do not use the output of a consolidated tape provider.

Other

Class of Instrument	Other				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	17.07				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
JP Morgan International Bank Ltd PGBWEZU4QUV2UVGWJC76	48.86%	2.05%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse (UK) Limited 549300GUAMON5OX2OO54	24.78%	80.17%	0	0	0
Credit Suisse AG ANGGYXNX0JLX3X63JN86	24.72%	11.09%	0	0	0
RBC Guernsey 5493000TLYNEW06YB739	0.93%	1.13%	0	0	0
CBP Quilvest 549300OZSHE4H5BFQA66	0.16%	1.98%	0	0	0